



Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstration Monitoring Report
(Template Version 1.0)

Note: All cells of the monitoring report contain text to ensure digital accessibility and to comply with section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act; this text should not be removed or modified by the state.

The monitoring report is made up of the following tabs. Instructions for completing each tab can be found below:

1. Overview: The state should complete Table 1 (below), titled Demonstration Information.

2. Executive Summary: The state should provide an executive summary of the content of the monitoring report, including specific topics identified in the tab.

3. Implementation Updates: To track demonstration progress, the state should respond to the narrative prompts for each Reporting Topic, including policy-specific prompts that are relevant to the demonstration, or note "The state has no update to report."

4. Metrics: The workbook has one tab for Base metrics, one tab for each possible demonstration policy and a tab for state-specific metrics. The state should enter monitoring metric data for each metric. The state should explain metrics trends in the "Metric Trends and Explanation" column. The state is only expected to complete metrics tabs relevant to the demonstration.

5. Metrics Context: The state should use the Metrics Context tab to document reporting issues (such as delays in data availability), methodology information (such as state-specific codes the state used to calculate a metric), deviations from the technical specifications, and/or plans to phase in metrics, as applicable.

Table 1. Demonstration Information	
State	Mississippi
Demonstration Name	Healthier MS §1115 Wavier No. 11-W-00185/4
Demonstration Year (DY)	21
Calendar Dates for DY	10/01/2024 - 09/30/2025
Note: Paperwork Reduction Act Disclosure Statement to be added here	

Executive Summary

Overview: Each state with an approved section 1115 demonstration is expected to utilize a monitoring report workbook to complete its monitoring reports, per the demonstration's STCs. In the monitoring report, the state will submit information on monitoring metrics, qualitative summaries of metrics trends, and implementation updates associated with waivers and expenditure authorities approved in its section 1115 demonstration. The state should contact its CMS demonstration team with any questions on the use of this workbook or submitting monitoring reports.

Executive Summary

This Executive Summary should provide a brief overview of the key achievements, highlights, challenges, and/or risks identified during the current reporting period. This section should also identify key changes since the last monitoring report, including the implementation of new program components; programmatic improvements (e.g., increased outreach or any beneficiary or provider education efforts); and/or any unexpected issues or changes (e.g., unexpected increases or decreases in demonstration eligibility and participation or beneficiary complaints, such as appeals and grievances, etc.). The recommended word count for this section is 1000 words or less.

During Demonstration Year (DY) 21, there were no significant programmatic changes, new components, or system modifications implemented under the demonstration. Program operations remained consistent with prior demonstration years. There were no grievances or appeals filed during DY 21, and no unexpected program disruptions were identified.

Although the total number of Healthier Mississippi Waiver (HMW) beneficiaries decreased compared to DY20, enrollment during DY21 demonstrated considerably greater stability. Beneficiaries remained enrolled for an average of approximately 10 months, and the disenrollment rate declined to 34.5 percent, nearly half the rate observed during DY20. Consequently, despite having fewer unique enrollees over the course of the year, DY21 maintained a more stable monthly enrollment population. These findings suggest that beneficiary retention improved substantially during DY21, resulting in a larger proportion of beneficiaries remaining continuously enrolled throughout the demonstration year. Consistent with this improved retention, enrollment trends during DY21 showed positive growth over time. Specifically, the number of eligible beneficiaries increased by 24 percent from Month 1 to Month 12, indicating that the program experienced increasing enrollment stability and sustained participation over the course of the year.

Utilization patterns during DY 21 reflect mixed results. The average quarterly number of emergency department (ED) visits and inpatient stays per 1,000 demonstration beneficiaries increased relative to DY 20. While this upward trend warrants continued monitoring, it occurred alongside improvements in several key preventive care measures. Preventive care utilization rates increased overall, as did most preventive screening rates. However, declines were observed in HbA1c testing and annual eye examination rates among beneficiaries with diabetes.

Beneficiary experience remained strong during DY 21. Survey results indicate that more than 90 percent of respondents reported satisfaction with the HMW program. Additionally, the average satisfaction score increased compared to prior reporting periods, suggesting continued positive beneficiary perception of program services.

One area of concern identified during the reporting period relates to hospital readmissions. The acute inpatient observed-to-expected (O/E) readmission ratio was 1.4, indicating that readmissions exceeded the level expected based on risk adjustment. This metric represents a potential risk area and will continue to be monitored to assess

CMS = Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; STC = special terms and conditions.

Implementation Updates

Prompt Number	Reporting Topic and Prompt	State Response
<i>EXAMPLE:</i> 1.3	<i>EXAMPLE:</i> Summarize other contextual factors (e.g., emergencies or disasters), initiatives (e.g., notable innovations), or state activity (e.g., system-wide Medicaid enrollment changes, stakeholder communications, and/or unexpected achievements or outcomes) that may accelerate or create delays in achieving the goals and objectives of the overall demonstration and its individual authorities. [The recommended word count is 200-300 words.]	<i>EXAMPLE:</i> The state experienced a three-day delay when launching the demonstration website due to IT issues. This delay limited the number of enrollees that could apply for demonstration benefits using the online application during the initial launch of the website. The state worked with its IT vendor to correct the IT issues and has added in additional quality assurance days into future demonstration website update release schedules to mitigate future delays in website update launches. Additionally, since the website and application will remain active during future updates, the state does not anticipate additional delays related to this issue in the
1	Demonstration Operations and Policy. Using the subsection prompts below, highlight critical demonstration implementation, operations, or policy considerations that might have affected (positively or negatively) eligibility and participation in demonstration programs, access to services, timely provision of services, or any other areas affecting beneficiaries. Summarize any related state activity that may have either a positive or negative effect on achieving the demonstration's approved goals or objectives.	
1.1	Summarize implementation, operations, or policy considerations that may affect the demonstration or its beneficiaries, including eligibility and participation in the demonstration. [The recommended word count is 500 words.]	During the current reporting period, there were no significant changes to demonstration implementation, operational processes, or governing policies that materially affected beneficiary eligibility, enrollment, or participation. The demonstration continued to operate in accordance with approved Special Terms and Conditions (STCs), existing state policies, and established administrative procedures. Eligibility and enrollment processes remained stable throughout the reporting period. There were no changes to eligibility criteria, verification procedures, or redetermination processes specific to the demonstration.
1.2	Describe activities under the below topics as they pertain to the demonstration:	
1.2.1	Organizational, administrative, or service delivery changes. [The recommended word count is 200-300 words.]	Operationally, program administration continued without modification to benefits, service delivery models, reimbursement methodologies, or provider participation requirements.
1.2.2		No new legislative or regulatory changes at the state level were implemented during the reporting period that affected the demonstration structure, or covered services.
1.2.3	Legislative activities. [The recommended word count is 150-200 words.]	
	Fiscal changes and related processes or definitions that would result in changes in access, benefits, populations, enrollment, etc. [The recommended word count is 150-200 words.]	During the current reporting period, there were no fiscal changes to the demonstration that resulted in modifications to beneficiary access, covered benefits, eligible populations, enrollment processes, or provider reimbursement methodologies. The state did not implement changes to funding structures, payment rates, or budget neutrality methodologies that would affect demonstration participation or service delivery.
1.2.4		During Demonstration Year (DY) 21, there were no audits, medical reviews, investigations, or lawsuits filed against the Division of Medicaid (DOM) that impacted the demonstration. No internal or external audit findings, program integrity reviews, or compliance examinations identified issues specific to demonstration operations, eligibility determinations, service delivery, or financial management.
	Audit or investigation activity, including findings. [The recommended word count is 150-200 words.]	

Implementation Updates

Prompt Number	Reporting Topic and Prompt	State Response
1.2.5		During Demonstration Year (DY) 21, there were no litigation activities that impacted the demonstration. The state was not subject to any lawsuits, class actions, administrative hearings, or judicial proceedings related to the design, implementation, administration, eligibility processes, covered benefits, reimbursement methodologies, or overall operation of the demonstration.
1.3	Litigation activities. [The recommended word count is 200-300 words]. Summarize other contextual factors (e.g., emergencies or disasters), initiatives (e.g., notable innovations), or state activity (e.g., system-wide Medicaid enrollment changes, stakeholder communications, and/or unexpected achievements or outcomes) that may accelerate or create delays in achieving the goals and objectives of the overall demonstration and its individual authorities. [The recommended word count is 200-300 words.]	The program operated consistently and without material policy, administrative, or system changes that would accelerate or delay achievement of demonstration goals and objectives., enrollment, and participation processes remained stable, and no implementation challenges were identified that adversely affected beneficiaries or Since the state's last update, there have been no changes to the data infrastructure, Health Information Technology (IT) systems, or other technology platforms supporting the demonstration. No system modifications, enhancements, or configuration changes were implemented that affected demonstration eligibility and enrollment processing, claims processing, or related data analytics and reporting systems.
2	Data Infrastructure and Health IT. Provide updates to data infrastructure, IT, or any other system changes or enhancements relevant to the demonstration, including any activities since the state's last update. Include information on system changes affecting demonstration eligibility and enrollment processing, MMIS, how IT is being used to support demonstration initiatives to identify and effectively treat and serve individuals in the demonstration, etc. In addition, include details on adoption and enhancement of IT systems to support data sharing between state Medicaid agencies, participating service providers and facilities, or partner entities assisting in the administration of the demonstration. Describe activities, challenges, and any remediation steps to establishing or maintaining the state's capacity for reporting key demographic data. [The recommended word count is 500 words.]	
3	Demonstration Evaluation. Provide an update on evaluation efforts. The state should also provide CMS with any information on challenges related to executing the evaluation, such as independent evaluator procurement and data availability, completeness, and quality. The state should include similar updates, as applicable, for any other post-approval assessments (e.g., mid-point assessments or annual availability assessments). If applicable, the state should include an attachment to report the results of beneficiary satisfaction surveys conducted during the year. [The recommended word count is 400 words, not including any applicable attachment.]	The evaluation of the Healthier Mississippi (HealthierMS) Waiver demonstration was conducted by the National Strategic Planning and Analysis Research Center (NSPARC) at Mississippi State University, which serves as the state's independent evaluator. The evaluation was completed in accordance with the approved Evaluation Design and applicable Special Terms and Conditions (STCs). During the reporting period, the evaluator continued to assess demonstration outcomes consistent with established hypotheses and performance measures. The evaluation incorporated administrative claims data, eligibility files, and other relevant program data necessary to assess beneficiary enrollment trends, utilization patterns, and program impacts. Data were provided in accordance with established data use agreements and reporting timelines. Note: See attachment for results from the beneficiary satisfaction surveys.
4	Post-Award Public Forum. Provide a summary of the most recent annual post-award public forum indicating any resulting action items or issues. Include a summary of the public comments for the period during which the forum was held. [The recommended word count is 300 words.]	The Post Award Forum was held on Tuesday, February 25, 2025, at 10 a.m. in the Cobb Conference Room, located on the 8th floor of the Sillers Building, 550 High Street, Jackson, MS 39201, with the option of teleconference. There were no comments recorded for this forum.
Policy-Specific Prompts		
<i>[The following prompt is applicable to a demonstration with a DSHP and/or SDOH/HRSN policy.]</i>		
5	Provider Payment Rate Increase. Attest that any required FFS and managed care provider rate increases for primary care services, obstetric care services, and behavioral health services, subject to the STCs, were at least sustained from, if not higher than, the previous year. [The recommended word count is 150 words.]	N/A
<i>[The following prompt is applicable to a demonstration with a continuous eligibility policy.]</i>		
6	Collecting and Providing Eligibility Information for Beneficiaries who Qualify for Continuous Eligibility. Describe successes and challenges related to activities to annually update beneficiary contact information, provide beneficiaries reminder of continued eligibility, verify beneficiary residency, and confirm that the beneficiary is not deceased, for all beneficiaries who qualify for a continuous eligibility period that exceeds 12 months. [The recommended word count for this section is 250 words.]	N/A
<i>[The following prompts are applicable to a demonstration with an SMI/SED policy and any other relevant authorities per the STCs.]</i>		

Implementation Updates

Prompt Number	Reporting Topic and Prompt	State Response
7	SMI/SED MOE Funding Outpatient Community-Based Mental Health Services. Provide the dollar amount, including the level of state appropriations and local funding for outpatient community-based mental health services, for the most recently completed state fiscal year (specify the start and end dates as MM/DD/YYYY).	N/A
7.1	Describe and explain any reductions in the MOE dollar amount below the amount provided in the state's application materials. If true, the state should confirm that it did not move resources to increase access to treatment in inpatient or residential settings at the expense of community-based services. [The recommended word count is 250 words.]	N/A
8	Activities to Support Early Intervention in SMI/SED. Describe activities to promote the availability and use of early intervention services such as screenings, structured assessments, and brief initial interventions. Discuss any challenges encountered and changes in the approach outlined in past monitoring reports, if applicable. [The recommended word count for this section is 250 words.]	N/A
9	Activities to Support Crisis Stabilization Services. Describe activities to increase access to and utilization of crisis stabilization services, specifically crisis stabilization services for mental health and substance use disorders, including mobile crisis units, crisis observation and assessment centers, crisis stabilization units, and coordinated community crisis response teams. Discuss any challenges encountered and changes in the approach outlined in past monitoring reports, if applicable. [The recommended word count is 250 words.]	N/A
<i>[The following prompt is applicable to a demonstration with a reentry, SDOH/HRSN, SMI/SED, and/or SUD policy, and any other relevant authorities per the STCs.]</i>		
10	Case Management and Care Coordination. Describe activities to connect beneficiaries to services, including primary or behavioral health (specifically, mental health and substance use disorder) care or services to address health-related social needs, including for beneficiaries transitioning from institutional settings, if applicable. ^a Discuss any challenges encountered, changes in the approach outlined in the implementation plan(s), and any changes to the timeline, if applicable. [The recommended word count is 400 words.]	N/A
<i>[The following prompt is applicable to a demonstration with a reentry, SDOH/HRSN, and/or THCP^b policy.]</i>		blank
11	Implementation Planning and Capacity Building Expenditures. Describe activities undertaken, as well as any deviations from the STCs, post-approval protocols, ^c and/or implementation plan, as may be applicable, regarding intended uses, amounts, and recipients of allowable implementation planning, capacity building, infrastructure, and transitional non-service expenditures, including any applicable changes to the timeline. In case of any deviation from previous reporting, include a discussion of corrective steps the state has implemented or will implement. [The recommended word count is 400 words.]	N/A
<i>[The following prompts are applicable to demonstrations with a reentry and/or SDOH/HRSN policy, and any other relevant authorities per the STCs.]</i>		
12	Partnerships with Providers and Other Key Entities. Describe coordination among key entities participating in the demonstration, including activities to establish and sustain informal or formal partnerships (such as through a contract, memorandum of understanding, or letter of agreement). For example, for demonstrations with an SDOH/HRSN policy, describe partnerships with health care providers, health plans, and SDOH/HRSN providers, including details on enrolling qualified providers to provide SDOH/HRSN services in the demonstration. For demonstrations with a reentry policy, describe coordination and communication among corrections systems, including the probation and parole system, health care providers and provider organizations, the State Medicaid Agency, and supported employment and supported housing agencies or organizations. Discuss any challenges encountered and any changes to the key entities, approach, or timeline outlined in the implementation plan or other protocols required by the STCs. [The recommended word count is 400 words.]	N/A
13	Beneficiary Engagement. Describe the activities that the state undertook to solicit input from Medicaid beneficiaries to identify barriers to participation and inform decisions about implementation, monitoring, and evaluation of the SDOH/HRSN and/or reentry demonstration(s). [The recommended word count is 200 words.]	N/A
14	Phasing-In of Services. Describe any changes to the state's plan for phasing-in of services, regions, or facilities, if applicable. Discuss any challenges encountered, changes in the approach outlined in the implementation plan, and any changes to the timeline, if applicable. [The recommended word count is 250 words.]	N/A
<i>[The following prompts are applicable to a demonstration with an SDOH/HRSN policy.]</i>		blank
15	SDOH/HRSN Activities to Assist Beneficiaries in Obtaining Non-Medicaid Funded Housing and Nutrition Supports. Describe the activities the state has undertaken to assist beneficiaries in obtaining non-Medicaid funded housing and nutrition supports, including progress made since the state's last reporting. The state should describe whether and to what extent beneficiaries are accessing the non-Medicaid funded supports. Include discussion of any deviations from the Implementation Plan or the Protocol for SDOH/HRSN Services, ^d including any changes to the timeline, if applicable, and information about mitigation steps the state has implemented or will implement to address any such deviation. ^e [The recommended word count is 250 words.]	N/A

Implementation Updates

Prompt Number	Reporting Topic and Prompt	State Response
16	SDOH/HRSN MOE Funding Housing and/or Nutrition Programs. Provide the dollar amount of state funding for social service programs related to housing supports and/or nutrition supports for the most recently completed state fiscal year (specify the start and end dates as MM/DD/YYYY). For annual reporting, the state should use the same methodology used in the baseline MOE report whenever possible. Otherwise, the state should provide an explanation for the deviation from the baseline methodology. [The recommended word count is 250 words.]	N/A
16.1	Describe and explain any reductions in the MOE dollar amount below the amount provided in the baseline spending submission. If accurate, the state should confirm that it did not move resources to increase access to approved Medicaid section 1115 housing supports and/or nutrition supports that address SDOH/HRSN at the expense of pre-existing social services in those categories. This may involve explaining any deviations from the methodology used in the baseline MOE report. [The recommended word count is 250 words.]	N/A

CMS = Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; DSHP = designated state health program; FFS = fee-for-service; IT = information technology; MMIS = Medicaid Management Information System; MOE = maintenance of effort; SDOH/HRSN = social determinants of health/health-related social needs; SMI/SED = serious mental illness/serious emotional disturbance; STCs = special terms and conditions; SUD = substance use disorder; THCP = traditional health care practices.

Note: The policy-specific prompts 5 through 16, including any sub-prompts, may apply to additional section 1115 demonstration initiatives in accordance with demonstration STCs.

^a For demonstrations with a reentry policy, services can include case management to address primary or behavioral health needs and access to nutrition opportunities, education and/or employment, and housing supports, as indicated in the State Medicaid Director's Letter. Include any details on systems or processes for monitoring health and SDOH/HRSNs, for example, scheduled contact with beneficiaries after transitioning to the community.

^b Applicable if the THCP authority in the demonstration includes implementation expenditures.

^c For some states, this information for the HRSN policy is included in the protocol titled "Protocol for Assessment of Beneficiary Eligibility and Needs, Infrastructure Planning, and Provider Qualifications" or the Protocol for SDOH/HRSN Infrastructure.

^d For some states, this information is included in the protocol titled "Protocol for Assessment of Beneficiary Eligibility and Needs, Infrastructure Planning, and Provider Qualifications."

^e See the STC regarding Partnerships with State and Local Entities. The state must have in place partnerships with other state and local entities to assist beneficiaries in obtaining non-Medicaid funded housing and nutrition supports, if available, upon the conclusion of temporary Medicaid payment for such supports. The state must establish a plan and timeline in the implementation plan, then provide updates in the monitoring report, including whether and to what extent the non-Medicaid funded supports are being accessed by beneficiaries as planned. Once the state's plan is fully implemented, the state may conclude its status updates.

Base Metrics Data and Trends

[Technical Specifications for Base Monitoring Metrics for Medicaid Section 1115 Demonstrations manual version: Version 1.0]

Metric Number	Metric Name	Metric Description	Data Source	Desired Directionality	Metric Trends and Explanation	Measurement Period	Dates Covered by Measurement Period	Demonstration Numerator or Count	Demonstration Denominator	Demonstration Rate/Percentage
EXAMPLE: BA_1 (Do not delete or edit this row)	EXAMPLE: Total Eligibility for the Demonstration	EXAMPLE: The unduplicated number of beneficiaries eligible for the demonstration and not suspended at any time during the measurement period. This indicator is the total number of unduplicated individuals in the overall demonstration. It includes those newly eligible for the demonstration during the measurement period and those whose eligibility continues from a prior period. This indicator is not a point-in-time count. It captures beneficiaries who were eligible for the demonstration for at least one day during the measurement period. For certain demonstration programs, this metric may capture the count of total program participation instead of count of individuals eligible for the program.	EXAMPLE: Administrative records	EXAMPLE: Consistent	EXAMPLE: This metric decreased by 5 percent due to an increase in eligibility redeterminations during Unwinding of various eligibility, resulting in more people being disenrolled from Medicaid and finding coverage in the Marketplace.	EXAMPLE: Month 1	EXAMPLE: 01/01/2024-01/31/2024	EXAMPLE: 650	EXAMPLE: n.a.	EXAMPLE: n.a.
BA_1	Total Eligibility for the Demonstration	The unduplicated number of beneficiaries eligible for the demonstration and not suspended at any time during the measurement period. This indicator is the total number of unduplicated individuals in each demonstration month during the overall demonstration. It includes those newly eligible for the demonstration during the measurement period and those whose eligibility continues from a prior period. This indicator is not a point-in-time count. It captures beneficiaries who were eligible for the demonstration for at least one day during the measurement period. For certain demonstration programs, this metric may capture the count of total program participation instead of count of individuals eligible for the program.	Administrative records	Increase	Monthly total eligibility increased by 24% from month 1 to month 12 of DY21.	Demonstration month 1 Demonstration month 2 Demonstration month 3 Demonstration month 4 Demonstration month 5 Demonstration month 6 Demonstration month 7 Demonstration month 8 Demonstration month 9 Demonstration month 10 Demonstration month 11 Demonstration month 12	10/01/2024-10/31/2024 11/01/2024-11/30/2024 12/01/2024-12/31/2024 01/01/2025-01/31/2025 02/01/2025-02/28/2025 03/01/2025-03/31/2025 04/01/2025-04/30/2025 05/01/2025-05/31/2025 06/01/2025-06/30/2025 07/01/2025-07/31/2025 08/01/2025-08/31/2025 09/01/2025-09/30/2025	3,591 3,634 3,735 3,828 3,906 3,999 4,132 4,226 4,311 4,374 4,424 4,458		
BA_2	Appeals, Eligibility	Number of appeals filed by demonstration beneficiaries during the measurement period regarding Medicaid eligibility.	Administrative records	Consistent	The number of appeals filed by HMW beneficiaries regarding eligibility decreased from 34 to 24 from DY20 to DY21, representing a 29.4% decline.	Demonstration Year	10/01/2024-09/30/2025	24		
BA_3	Appeals, Benefits	Number of appeals filed by demonstration beneficiaries during the measurement period regarding benefits.	Administrative records	Consistent	No appeals related to benefits were filed, the same as in DY20.	Demonstration Year	10/01/2024-09/30/2025	0		
BA_4	Grievances	Number of grievances filed by demonstration beneficiaries during the measurement period.	Administrative records	Consistent	No grievances were filed, the same as in DY20.	Demonstration Year	10/01/2024-09/30/2025	0		
BA_5	Emergency Department Utilization, All Use	Total number of ED visits per 1,000 demonstration beneficiary months during the	Claims and encounters	Decrease	The average quarterly number of emergency department (ED) visits per 1,000 demonstration beneficiary months in DY21 was 78, representing a 24% increase from DY20. From Quarter 1 to Quarter 4 in DY21, ED visits per 1,000 demonstration beneficiary months increased by 27%.	Demonstration quarter 1 Demonstration quarter 2 Demonstration quarter 3 Demonstration quarter 4	10/01/2024-12/31/2024 01/01/2025-03/31/2025 04/01/2025-06/30/2025 07/01/2025-09/30/2025	723 860 979 1,094	10,882 11,862 10,831 12,959	66 73 90 84
BA_6	Inpatient Admissions	Total number of inpatient admissions per 1,000 demonstration beneficiary months	Claims and encounters	Decrease	The average quarterly number of inpatient admissions per 1,000 demonstration beneficiary months in DY21 was 33, representing a 32% increase from DY20. From Quarter 1 to Quarter 4 in DY21, inpatient admissions per 1,000 demonstration beneficiary months increased by 10%.	Demonstration quarter 1 Demonstration quarter 2 Demonstration quarter 3 Demonstration quarter 4	10/01/2024-12/31/2024 01/01/2025-03/31/2025 04/01/2025-06/30/2025 07/01/2025-09/30/2025	317 370 418 415	10,882 11,862 10,831 12,959	29 31 39 32
BA_7	Plan All-Cause Readmissions (PCR-AD) [NCQA; CMIT# 561; Medicaid Adult Core Set; Adjusted HEDIS specifications]	For beneficiaries aged 18 to 64, the number of acute inpatient and observation stays during the measurement year that were followed by an unplanned acute readmission for any diagnosis within 30 days and the predicted probability of an acute readmission. Data are reported in the following categories:	Claims and encounters	Decrease	This is the first year readmissions have been calculated for HMW beneficiaries. The measure shows that the readmission rate among HMW non-elderly adult beneficiaries was 11.9% in DY21 ((127/1066) × 100 = 11.9%). The acute inpatient observed-to-expected (O/E) readmission ratio was 1.4 in DY21, indicating that readmissions exceeded the level expected based on risk adjustment. These results will serve as the baseline for comparison with DY22 to assess future trends.	Calendar Year				
BA_7.1	Plan all-cause readmissions - index hospital stays	1. Count of Index Hospital Stays (IHS)					01/01/2025-12/01/2025	1,066		
BA_7.2	Plan all-cause readmissions - observed 30 day readmissions	2. Count of Observed 30-Day Readmissions					01/03/2025-12/31/2025	127		
BA_7.3	Plan all-cause readmissions - expected 30 day readmissions	3. Count of Expected 30-Day Readmissions					01/03/2025-12/31/2025	88		
BA_7.4	Plan all-cause readmissions - beneficiaries in demonstration population	4. Count of beneficiaries in demonstration population					12/31/2023-12/31/2025	735		
BA_7.5	Plan-all cause readmissions - number of outliers	5. Number of outliers					01/01/2025-12/01/2025	229		
BA_c_7a	Plan all-cause readmissions - observed 30-day readmission rate <<This Rate is Autocalculated>>	c_7a. Count of observed 30-day readmissions divided by the count of index hospital stays (BA_7.2 / BA_7.1)*100						127.00	1066.00	11.91369606
BA_c_7b	Plan all-cause readmissions - expected readmission rate <<This Rate is Autocalculated>>	c_7b. Count of expected 30-day readmissions divided by the count of index hospital stays (BA_7.3 / BA_7.1)*100						88.00	127.00	69.29133858
BA_c_7c	Plan all-cause readmissions - observed-to-expected ratio <<This Rate is Autocalculated>>	c_7c. Count of observed 30-day readmissions divided by count of expected 30-day readmissions (BA_7.2 / BA_7.3)						127.00	88.00	1.443181818
BA_c_7d	Plan all-cause readmissions - outlier rate <<This Rate is Autocalculated>>	c_7d. Number of outliers divided by count of beneficiaries in demonstration population (BA_7.5 / BA_7.4)*1,000						229.00	735.00	311.5646259

Note: Licensee and state must prominently display the following notice on any display of Measure rates:
The PCR-AD measure (BA_7) is a Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set (HEDIS®) measure that is owned and copyrighted by the National Committee for Quality Assurance (NCQA). HEDIS measures and specifications are not clinical guidelines, do not establish a standard of medical care and have not been tested for all potential applications. The measures and specifications are provided "as is" without warranty of any kind. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsements about the quality of any product, test or protocol identified as numerator compliant or otherwise identified as meeting the requirements of a HEDIS measure or specification. NCQA makes no representations, warranties, or endorsement about the quality of any organization or clinician who uses or reports performance measures and NCQA has no liability to anyone who relies on HEDIS measures or specifications or data reflective of performance under such measures and specifications.

The measure specification methodology used by CMS is different from NCQA's methodology. NCQA has not validated the adjusted measure specifications but has granted CMS permission to adjust. A calculated measure result (a "rate") from a HEDIS measure that has not been certified via NCQA's Measure Certification Program, and is based on adjusted HEDIS specifications, may not be called a "HEDIS rate" until it is audited and designated reportable by an NCQA-Certified HEDIS Compliance Auditor. Until such time, such measure rates shall be designated or referred to as "Adjusted, Uncertified, Unaudited HEDIS rates."

CMS = Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services; CMIT = CMS Measures Inventory Tool; ED = emergency department; HEDIS = Healthcare Effectiveness Data and Information Set; NCQA = National Committee for Quality Assurance.
end of worksheet

State-Specific Metrics Data and Trends

							Dates Covered by		Demonstration	Demonstration	Demonstration
Metric Number	Metric Name	Metric Description	Data Source	Desired Directionality	Metric Trends and Explanations	Measurement Period	Measurement Period	Numerator or Count	Denominator	Rate/Percentage	
EXAMPLE: XX_S_1 (Do not delete or edit this row)	EXAMPLE: Peer Recovery Support Services	EXAMPLE: Number of members who receive peer recovery support services in conjunction with other SUD treatment during the measurement period.	EXAMPLE: Claims	EXAMPLE: Increase	EXAMPLE: There was an 10% increase in the use of peer recovery support services from December 2023 to January 2024 with the rollout of on-site peer specialists in emergency departments across the state. Peer specialists connections are required for all beneficiaries reporting to the emergency department with a chief complaint related to a substance use disorder. This measure represents the total number of unique HMW beneficiaries enrolled at any point during the demonstration year, regardless of whether they experienced periods of suspension during that year. Each beneficiary is counted only once, even if they remained enrolled across multiple months. This measure differs from Cell BA_1 in the Base Metrics table, which reports the monthly count of beneficiaries without suspended enrollment during a specific month. In BA_1, a beneficiary may be counted multiple times across the demonstration year if they remain enrolled in multiple months. For example, a beneficiary who is actively enrolled in both Month 1 and Month 2 would be counted once in each month's total. In contrast, the measure reported here counts that beneficiary only once for the entire demonstration year.	EXAMPLE: Month 1	EXAMPLE: 01/01/2024-1/31/2024	EXAMPLE: 650	EXAMPLE: n.a.	EXAMPLE: n.a.	
HMW_S_1	Total Enrollment	Total number of unique HMW enrollees during the demonstration year.	Administrative Records	Consistent or Increase	Compared with the previous demonstration year (DY20: October 1, 2023 – September 30, 2024), total unique enrollment declined from 9,784 beneficiaries in DY20 to 6,804 beneficiaries in DY21, a decrease of approximately 30.5 percent. At first glance, this decline may appear inconsistent with the increase observed in average monthly enrollment during DY21. However, these findings reflect different aspects of enrollment dynamics and are not contradictory. Although DY20 enrolled a larger number of unique beneficiaries, enrollment turnover was substantially higher. During DY20, 66.6 percent (6,514 beneficiaries) disenrolled before the end of the demonstration year, and beneficiaries remained enrolled for an average of approximately six months. As a result, monthly enrollment steadily declined throughout the year, falling from more than 7,000 beneficiaries at the beginning of DY20 to fewer than 2,000 beneficiaries by July 2024, before increasing modestly to approximately 4,000	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	6,804	[Insert value here, if applicable.]	[Insert value here, if applicable.]	
HMW_S_2	Ambulatory/Preventive Health Visit Rate	The percentage of beneficiaries who had at least one ambulatory or preventive care visit during the measurement demonstration year.	Claims	Increase	Compared to DY20, this percentage increased by 1.5 percentage point.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	4,388	6,804	63.8%	
HMW_S_3	Cervical Cancer Screening Rate	The percentage of female beneficiaries aged 21-64 who received a cervical cancer screening during the measurement demonstration year. The percentage of female beneficiaries aged 50-74 who received a mammogram during the 27 months prior to the end of measurement demonstration year.	Claims	Increase	Compared to DY20, this percentage increased by 2.2 percentage point.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	203	3,189	6.4%	
HMW_S_4	Breast Cancer Screening Rate	The percentage of beneficiaries aged 45-75 who received a colorectal cancer screening during the measurement demonstration year.	Claims	Increase	Compared to DY20, this percentage increased by approximately 7 percentage point.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	512	2,131	24.0%	
HMW_S_5	Colorectal Cancer Screening Rate	The percentage of beneficiaries aged 18-75 with diabetes who received an HbA1c test during the measurement demonstration year.	Claims	Increase	Compared to DY20, this percentage increased by 2.5 percentage point.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	306	4,777	6.4%	
HMW_S_6	Diabetes Care: Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) testing Rate	The percentage of beneficiaries aged 18-75 with diabetes who received a dilated eye examination during the measurement period.	Claims	Increase	Compared to DY20, this percentage decreased by 17 percentage point.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	759	3,894	19.5%	
HMW_S_7	Diabetes Care: Dilated Eye Examination Rate	The percentage of beneficiaries who completed the survey among those enrolled for 12 consecutive months in DY21.	Telephone Survey	Increase	Compared to DY20, this percentage decreased by 6.3 percentage point. Compared to the survey conducted during DY15-DY20 demonstration years, the number of beneficiaries who completed survey increased more than 38% from 44 to 213.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	284	3,894	7.3%	
HMW_S_8	Beneficiary Satisfaction Survey Participation Rate	The percentage of beneficiaries who reported satisfaction with the demonstration services among those who completed the telephone survey. The average satisfaction rating reported by beneficiaries who completed the telephone survey. Ratings range from 1 to 6, where 1 = Very dissatisfied, 2 = Dissatisfied, 3 = Neutral, 4 = Satisfied, 5 = Very	Telephone Survey	Increase	Compared to the survey conducted during DY15-DY20 demonstration years, this percentage is very similar. The average score was 4.8 out of 5, which is 0.4 points higher than the score reported in the most recent survey conducted during the DY15–DY20 demonstration years.	Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	192	213	90.1%	
HMW_S_10	Average Satisfaction Score		Telephone Survey	Increase		Demonstration Year	01/10/2024-09/30/2025	4.8			