STATE: Mississippi

Section 438.6(c) Preprint

Section 438.6(c) provides States with the flexibility to implement delivery system and provider payment initiatives under MCO, PIHP, or PAHP Medicaid managed care contracts. Section 438.6(c)(1) describes types of payment arrangements that States may use to direct expenditures under the managed care contract – paragraph (c)(1)(i) provides that States may specify in the contract that managed care plans adopt value-based purchasing models for provider reimbursement; paragraph (c)(1)(ii) provides that States have the flexibility to require managed care plan participation in broad-ranging delivery system reform or performance improvement initiatives; and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) provides that States may require certain payment levels for MCOs, PIHPs, and PAHPs to support State practices critical to ensuring timely access to high-quality care.

Under section 438.6(c)(2), contract arrangements that direct the MCO's, PIHP's, or PAHP's expenditures under paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (iii) must have written approval from CMS prior to implementation and before approval of the corresponding managed care contract(s) and rate certification(s). This preprint implements the prior approval process and must be completed, submitted, and approved by CMS before implementing any of the specific payment arrangements described in section 438.6(c)(1)(i) through (iii).

Standard Questions for All Payment Arrangements

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i), the following questions must be completed.

DATE AND TIMING INFORMATION:

1. Identify the State's managed care contract rating period for which this payment arrangement will apply (for example, July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018):

July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021

2. Identify the State's requested start date for this payment arrangement (for example, January 1, 2018):

July 1, 2020

3. Identify the State's expected duration for this payment arrangement (for example, 1 year, 3 years, or 5 years):

3 years, beginning July 1, 2019. The State is seeking CMS approval for year 2 of the payment arrangement. The State understands that an annual approval from CMS is required.

STATE DIRECTED VALUE-BASED PURCHASING:

4. In accordance with §438.6(c)(1)(i) and (ii), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to implement value-based purchasing models for provider reimbursement, such as alternative payment models (APMs), pay for performance arrangements, bundled payments, or other service payment models intended to recognize value or outcomes over volume of services; or the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to participate in a multi-payer or Medicaid-specific delivery system reform or performance improvement initiative. *Check all that apply; if none are checked, proceed to Question 6.*

- ☐ Quality Payments / Pay for Performance (Category 2 APM, or similar)
- ☐ Bundled Payments / Episode-Based Payments (Category 3 APM, or similar)
- ☐ Population-Based Payments / Accountable Care Organization (ACO) (Category 4 APM, or similar)
- ☐ Multi-Payer Delivery System Reform
- ☐ Medicaid-Specific Delivery System Reform
- ☐ Performance Improvement Initiative
- ☐ Other Value-Based Purchasing Model

5. Provide a brief summary or description of the required payment arrangement selected above and describe how the payment arrangement intends to recognize value or outcomes over volume of services (the State may also provide an attachment). If “other” was checked above, identify the payment model. If this payment arrangement is designed to be a multi-year effort, describe how this application’s payment arrangement fits into the larger multi-year effort. If this is a multi-year effort, identify which year of the effort is addressed in this application.

N/A

STATE DIRECTED FEE SCHEDULES:

6. In accordance with §438.6(c)(1)(iii), the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to adopt a minimum or maximum fee schedule for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract; or the State is requiring the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP to provide a uniform dollar or percentage increase for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract. *Check all that apply; if none are checked, proceed to Question 10.*

   ☑ Minimum Fee Schedule
   ☐ Maximum Fee Schedule
   ☐ Uniform Dollar or Percentage Increase

7. Use the checkboxes below to identify whether the State is proposing to use §438.6(c)(1)(iii) to establish any of the following fee schedules:

   ☐ The State is proposing to use an approved State plan fee schedule
   ☐ The State is proposing to use a Medicare fee schedule
   ☑ The State is proposing to use an alternative fee schedule established by the State

8. If the State is proposing to use an alternative fee schedule established by the State, provide a brief summary or description of the required fee schedule and describe how the fee schedule was developed, including why the fee schedule is appropriate for network providers that provide a particular service under the contract (the State may also provide an attachment).

The fee schedule was originally developed with the assistance of an actuarial firm for the initial year of this payment arrangement. At that time, the actuary provided a market scan of state Medicaid reimbursement rates for MS covered Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) specific CPT codes. For the SFY2021 payment arrangement we will use the same fee schedule. The payment methodology is attached.

9. If using a maximum fee schedule, use the checkbox below to make the following assurance:

   ☐ In accordance with §438.6(c)(1)(iii)(C), the State has determined that the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP has retained the ability to reasonably manage risk and has discretion in accomplishing the goals of the contract.

APPROVAL CRITERIA FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

10. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(A), describe in detail how the payment arrangement is based on the utilization and delivery of services for enrollees covered under the contract (the State may also provide an attachment).

The payment arrangement reimburses providers based on services provided to members in the MississippiCAN program.

11. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(B), identify the class or classes of providers that will participate in this payment arrangement.

The following licensed qualified health care practitioners (QHCP), working within their scope of practice and licensure, may participate in this payment arrangement:
a) Licensed Physician,
b) Licensed Psychologist,
c) Mental Health Nurse Practitioner,
d) Licensed Clinical Social Worker (LCSW),
e) Licensed Professional Counselor (LPC), or
f) Board Certified Behavior Analyst (BCBA).

12. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(B), describe how the payment arrangement directs expenditures equally, using the same terms of performance, for the class or classes of providers (identified above) providing the service under the contract (the State may also provide an attachment).

The payment arrangement will target all Medicaid enrollees of the MississippiCAN program up to age 21 with a diagnosis of ASD. DOM has contracts with three managed care organizations that are responsible for providing services to the Mississippi Medicaid members who participate in the MississippiCAN program.

QUALITY CRITERIA AND FRAMEWORK FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

13. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance (and complete the following additional questions):

☑ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(C), the State expects this payment arrangement to advance at least one of the goals and objectives in the quality strategy required per §438.340.

a. Hyperlink to State's quality strategy (consistent with §438.340(d), States must post the final quality strategy online beginning July 1, 2018; if a hyperlink is not available, please attach the State's quality strategy):

https://medicaid.ms.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/Managed-Care-Quality-Strategy-submitted-to-CMS-7.23.18.pdf

b. Date of quality strategy (month, year):

July, 2018

c. In the table below, identify the goal(s) and objective(s) (including page number references) this payment arrangement is expected to advance:

Table 13(c): Payment Arrangement Quality Strategy Goals and Objectives		
Goal(s)	**Objective(s)**	**Quality strategy page**
Improve access to necessary medical services		5
If additional rows are required, please attach.		

d. Describe how this payment arrangement is expected to advance the goal(s) and objective(s) identified in Question 13(c). If this is part of a multi-year effort, describe this both in terms of this year's payment arrangement and that of the multi-year payment arrangement.

Few MCO members with diagnosis of ASD are receiving ASD services. Mississippi reimbursement rates for key ASD services are on the low end of Medicaid rates both in the 2019 survey performed by Autism Speaks as well as in the attached Navigant survey of publicly available rates. These rate adjustments are intended to improve access to care and attract additional providers to provide these services to MississippiCAN members. This payment arrangement was approved by CMS for SFY 2020 in March 2020 which has not allowed for measurable results at this time. DOM expects to see an increase in providers delivering ASD services and enrollees receiving the services.

14. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance (and complete the following additional questions):

☑ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(D), the State has an evaluation plan which measures the degree to which the payment arrangement advances at least one of the goal(s) and objective(s) in the quality strategy required per §438.340.

a. Describe how and when the State will review progress on the advancement of the State's goal(s) and objective(s) in the quality strategy identified in Question 13(c). If this is any year other than year 1 of a multi-year effort, describe prior year(s) evaluation findings and the payment arrangement's impact on the goal(s) and objective(s) in the State's quality strategy. If the State has an evaluation plan or design for this payment arrangement, or evaluation findings or reports, please attach.

Mississippi will review progress on the advancement of the State's goals and objectives identified in Question 13(c) by evaluating: 1) utilization of services, 2) access to services, and 3) cost effective delivery of services.

Utilization of Services – DOM will measure the percent change in beneficiaries under the age of 21 with an Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) diagnosis who are receiving specified ASD services during the evaluation period compared to the baseline period. ASD diagnoses will be defined as ICD-10 codes of F84.0, F84.1, F84.2, F84.3, F84.5, F84.8, and F84.9. ASD services will be defined as CPT codes of 97151-97158, 0362T and 0373T.

Access to Services – DOM will measure the number of unique providers of ASD services for beneficiaries under the age of 21 with a diagnosis of ASD during the evaluation period compared to the baseline period. DOM will look for an increase in the number of providers as a result of this payment arrangement. The ICD-10s and CPT codes specified above will be used for this measure as well.

Cost Effective Delivery of Services – DOM will analyze the costs of the services defined above under Utilization of Services, the utilization rate and the rate of beneficiary access to timely services compared to the baseline period: January 2019-December 2019. DOM anticipates the cost of services will increase as the utilization rate increases, however, DOM expects any increased costs to represent effective care delivery processes and standards.

Evaluation Period – For the first two (2) measures, the year 2 experience of state fiscal year 2021 (July 1, 2020 – June 30, 2021) will be compared to the initial year experience of state fiscal year 2020 (July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020). The evaluation period for Cost Effective Delivery of Services is based on a calendar year to coincide with the financial templates submitted by the Coordinated Care Organizations.

Measure Name	Description	Baseline Year	Baseline Statistic	Performance Target
Utilization of Services	The percent change in beneficiaries under the age of 21 with an ASD diagnosis who received specified ASD services	July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020	3.2%	5.0%
Access to Services	The number of unique providers of ASD services for beneficiaries under the age of 21 with an ASD diagnosis	July 1, 2019 – June 30, 2020	36	40
Cost Effective Delivery of Services	The costs of the services defined under the Utilization of Services, the utilization rate and the rate of beneficiary access to timely services compared to the baseline period	January 2019 – December 2019	$483,642	$532,006

b. Indicate if the payment arrangement targets all enrollees or a specific subset of enrollees. If the payment arrangement targets a specific population, provide a brief description of the payment arrangement's target population (for example, demographic information such as age and gender; clinical information such as most prevalent health conditions; enrollment size in each of the managed care plans; attribution to each provider; etc.).

The payment arrangement targets children under the age of 21 in the MississippiCAN program with a diagnosis of ASD as defined by ICD-10 codes F84.0, F84.1, F84.2, F84.3, F84.5, F84.8 and F84.9.

c. Describe any planned data or measure stratifications (for example, age, race, or ethnicity) that will be used to evaluate the payment arrangement.

DOM will stratify the MississippiCAN data by age, race, and geographic location of the member. This stratification is intended to permit an analysis of any disparities and inequities. This will also help with identifying any areas of the state where access is still an issue. DOM anticipates including Fee-for-Service data in this analysis as a separate stratification to ensure a complete picture of access and utilization of ASD services statewide.

d. Provide additional criteria (if any) that will be used to measure the success of the payment arrangement.

No additional criteria will be used.

REQUIRED ASSURANCES FOR ALL PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

15. Use the checkboxes below to make the following assurances:

☑ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(E), the payment arrangement does not condition network provider participation on the network provider entering into or adhering to intergovernmental transfer agreements.

☑ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i)(F), the payment arrangement is not renewed automatically.

☑ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(i), the State assures that all expenditures for this payment arrangement under this section are developed in accordance with §438.4, the standards specified in §438.5, and generally accepted actuarial principles and practices.

Additional Questions for Value-Based Payment Arrangements

In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii), if a checkbox has been marked for Question 4, the following questions must also be completed.

APPROVAL CRITERIA FOR VALUE-BASED PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

16. In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(A), describe how the payment arrangement makes participation in the value-based purchasing initiative, delivery system reform, or performance improvement initiative available, using the same terms of performance, to the class or classes of providers (identified above) providing services under the contract related to the reform or improvement initiative (the State may also provide an attachment).

QUALITY CRITERIA AND FRAMEWORK FOR VALUE-BASED PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

17. Use the checkbox below to make the following assurance (and complete the following additional questions):

☐ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(B), the payment arrangement makes use of a common set of performance measures across all of the payers and providers.

a. In the table below, identify the measure(s) that the State will tie to provider performance under this payment arrangement (provider performance measures). To the extent practicable, CMS encourages States to utilize existing validated performance measures to evaluate the payment arrangement.

TABLE 17(a): Payment Arrangement Provider Performance Measures					
Provider Performance Measure Number	**Measure Name and NQF #** (if applicable)	**Measure Steward/ Developer** (if State-developed measure, list State name)	**State Baseline** (if available)	**VBP Reporting Years***	**Notes****
1.					
2.					

TABLE 17(a): Payment Arrangement Provider Performance Measures					
Provider Performance Measure Number	**Measure Name and NQF #** (if applicable)	**Measure Steward/ Developer** (if State-developed measure, list State name)	**State Baseline** (if available)	**VBP Reporting Years***	**Notes****
3.					
4.					
5.					
6.					
If additional rows are required, please attach.					

*If this is planned to be a multi-year payment arrangement, indicate which year(s) of the payment arrangement the measure will be collected in.
**If the State will deviate from the measure specification, please describe here. Additionally, if a State-specific measure will be used, please define the numerator and denominator here.

b. Describe the methodology used by the State to set performance targets for each of the provider performance measures identified in Question 17(a).

REQUIRED ASSURANCES FOR VALUE-BASED PAYMENT ARRANGEMENTS:

18. Use the checkboxes below to make the following assurances:

    ☐ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(C), the payment arrangement does not set the amount or frequency of the expenditures.

    ☐ In accordance with §438.6(c)(2)(ii)(D), the payment arrangement does not allow the State to recoup any unspent funds allocated for these arrangements from the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP.